


**WHO'S ON
AT THE WH**

**THE CCP RU
AND WE MU
LEAVE IT**





Who's on First at the WHO

The CCP Runs It – and We Must Leave It



Robert W Malone MD, MS ✓

Mar 11



March 7, 2023

[Here is the original link to the webinar.](#)

TRANSCRIPT

Dede Laugesen: Hello, everyone. Thanks for joining us today. I'm Dede Laugesen, executive Secretary for the Committee on the Present Danger China. We appreciate your interest in our weekly webinars in which we expose and analyze the Chinese Communist Party's unrestricted warfare against America and the help being provided to the CCP in waging that warfare by captured US elites. We encourage you to visit present Danger China.org for information on new programs, access to videos of our past webinars, and tons of other very valuable content. Our moderator today is Frank Gaffney. Frank is the Executive chairman for the Center for Security Policy and Vice Chair for the Committee on the Present Danger China.

Frank Gaffney: Thank you, Judy. Welcome, everyone, to this edition of the webinar series sponsored by our Committee on the Present Danger. China focused on the unrestricted warfare that the Chinese Communist Party has been waging against our country for decades for the purpose of destroying it, and also focused on the help they are getting from Americans in waging that war.

We are going to be talking today about a vehicle for the Chinese Communist Party's take down of our constitutional republic through the vehicle of an international organization. As it happens, they have become dominant forces in most of the world's international organizations, especially those under the sponsorship of the United Nations. That is the case for sure. With respect to the World Health Organization, better known by its acronym, W.H.O.

We're going to be talking at some length about the nature of the World Health Organization. And the degree to which when one asks the question, who's on first at the WHO? The answer is the Chinese Communist Party. We're going to talk about what that dominant force in the W.H.O. has translated into in terms of the response by that organization to the first pandemic launched by the Chinese, came to be called COVID 19. The Wuhan virus is preferred by some of us or simply the CCP virus, for that matter.

We're also going to talk about what the consequences of the adoption of the China model

we're also going to talk about what the consequences of the adoption of the China model. As a response to that virus has translated into in terms of loss of life, in terms of horrific. Disruption and damage to our economy and arguably to political outcomes as well, including the defeat of Donald J. Trump for re-election as President of the United States. We're at most especially, however, going to be focused on what are the lessons to be taken away? From how the WHO performed back in the early days of the pandemic and subsequently and the advisability or extreme inadvisability, more accurately, of entrusting more power to Dr. Tedros Ghebreyesus, a Chinese Communist Party puppet, who is the director general of the W.H.O., and to his organization in ways that we will be discussing with our distinguished panel today and, specifically, what negotiations that have been underway in a concerted fashion for the past several weeks will mean for you and your loved ones, for our country and for our constitutional republic, if allowed to become binding upon our nation, which is the object and purpose, not only, unfortunately, of the Chinese Communist Party and its puppets at the World Health Organization, but also, incredibly, of the Biden administration.

To begin, we're going to talk about a man who saw the problems with the World Health Organization when he was president of the United States and decided to appropriately with respect to those problems, namely by withdrawing our country from that organization. That would be, of course, former President Donald J. Trump. We have a very brief clips from comments that he made, extended comments that he made at the Conservative Political Action Conference in the Washington, D.C. area on Saturday of last weekend. And it sets the stage for the conversation we'll be having with the rest of our distinguished panels. Let's go to that video.

Donald Trump's clip: ...I will again withdraw from the W.H.O., which stands for We Hide Outbreaks. We Hide Outbreaks. The United States was paying, I think this is important because, again, it's so much common sense involved. The United States was paying the World Health Organization \$450 million a year. Now, in terms of money and the kind of trillions and trillions we're talking about, it's not that much, but it's still \$450 million a year. And I took them out. That's what it was. The price was 450, and that's for 350 million people. China was paying \$39 Billion a year for 1.4 billion people. It doesn't sound too right. And they had total control, by the way. We had no control. They literally own it. China

controls W.H.O. So that's what it does. W.H.O. is totally controlled by China. We could have saved \$400 million a year. It's a lot of money. And now they're asking for even more dictatorial power and more and more and more of our money. But they're getting nothing more from China.

Frank Gaffney: Those remarks, I think, captured the concern clearly that President Trump had both about the enormous costs of being a member of the World Health Organization, expected to pick up the bulk of the tab of its annual budget, and specifically the degree to which the Chinese Communist Party is controlling what the organization does, what it tells others to do as well. To talk a little bit about how that works or would if the existing international health regulations are modified as is now being actively considered by the World Health Organization, and or if there is yet another agreement for pandemics that is adopted also now under active consideration by the W.H.O. and what it means specifically in terms of our sovereignty and our freedoms and indeed our constitutional republic.

Should authoritarians at the World Health Organization be called globalists? You can call them supra nationalists. You can call them Chinese Communist Party puppets, whatever term you wish to use. The question of what that might mean for us has to be topic A and certainly is for our panel, including our next presenter. His name is Jonathan Emord. He is a constitutional attorney by training and practice. He formerly was a lawyer for the Federal Communications Commission. He is the author of an important book, very relevant to this topic, entitled "The Authoritarians: Their Assault on Individual Liberty, the Constitution and Free Enterprise from the 19th century to the present". We have him by videotape. Let's go to Jonathan Edwards program. By the way, he is also a candidate for the United States Senate from Virginia. I will hand over to Jonathan now.

Jonathan Emord: Well, one of the most unfortunate occurrences in our history are these occasional incursions into sovereignty that have been characteristic of the Biden administration and of the Democrat leadership in the Senate. We have an opportunity by blocking the president through the budget process to achieve our objectives. And there are innumerable ways in which Republicans should be standing up and fighting against this president and his attempt to wet us to a World Health Organization agenda that is largely

dictated by our enemy, by the communist Chinese. If we fail to protect our Constitution and our national sovereignty, as well as our individual sovereignty, the game is up. And whether that is done by turning over power to the World Health Organization or just by Biden adhering to the World Health Organization's dictates, as are themselves largely the byproduct of a complicity with the communist Chinese.

We are effectively losing our liberty, and there can be no more precious liberty than your liberty over your own body, over what is done to your body in the course of a pandemic or any other disease in which the nation must rally around our Constitution. The purpose of the Bill of Rights, for example, and of our constitutional separation of powers is designed to have resiliency in the midst of a crisis. It's not meant to be forfeited in a crisis. And a crisis is no justification for a denial of your civil liberties. Nowhere in the Constitution does it provide an escape clause for authoritarianism, where suddenly an authoritarian state can be erected whenever there is a Rahm Emanuel-style crisis identified. This is but one instance, but a profound one in which our freedom is once again at stake. We have the duty as a people who love liberty and who believe in the Constitution of the United States to not allow a president of the United States who has abdicated his role under the Constitution to take this country and draw it down into a situation in which we become serfs or slaves to the dictates of an international organization like W.H.O.

That is even made more profound when we understand just how heavy the influence of communist China is over W.H.O. and our recent experience with the pandemic response. We saw our own country through the CDC and the FDA fall in lockstep by the by the president's dictates, the CDC's direction on how to deal with the pandemic. That response was disastrous. We had a one size fits all approach, which was a vaccine that was oversold, that resulted in injury to a significant percentage of the population and for which information was suppressed. We had no criticism of the vaccine allowed through the big tech and media platforms as a result of collusion identified most recently in the Twitter files whereby you have the White House dictating censorship of specific individuals and organizations that were trying to articulate what now we know to be very valid criticisms of the government's approach, authoritarian approach to this.

Imagine a different world. Imagine the world that the founding Fathers created. Imagine a

world in which free and wide open and robust scientific debate took place, as our Constitution requires. What would you have had different? Well, you would have had the opportunity for critics of the vaccine who said from the very start and oftentimes throughout the whole pandemic that the vaccine would not be a foolproof system of protection against acquiring COVID. And indeed, we know that people did acquire COVID, that the vaccine was not an assurance that you could not transmit the disease, that you could carry and transmit it even if you were vaccinated. That this othering of unvaccinated and condemnation of them was wholly unjustified scientifically because there was no difference between who could carry the disease and who could not. Based on vaccination, there was no difference in who could acquire the disease and who could not, based on vaccination.

They lied to us repeatedly. They told us that this mask, this masking mandate, which is a tremendous control mechanism, that this masking mandate was essential for our health. We now know that transmission of COVID, a nanoparticle, flies right through cotton strands of thread and is simply incapable of being stopped by a mask. The whole notion that somehow the population could be deluded into a system that would be to the great advantage of some of the largest pharmaceutical companies in the world and to the state in controlling people is not an answer in a free country to a pandemic or any other situation.

We had the opportunity instead to have wide open, robust debate, early treatment that was suppressed and denied criticism of the approach that was taken that would have allowed novel introduction of different approaches. This is how free people protect themselves. This is how we protect ourselves from a weaponized virus. And let there be no mistake, this is a weaponized virus from communist China because at a minimum, at a minimum, I say even with the information we have, which is not complete, we know that at the time they locked down the province of Wuhan against travel within their own country, that they purposefully left international flights open to allow the virus to go all over the world as a bioweapon.

So, this is when we talk about the World Health Organization, we talk about an entity that is largely a captive of our enemies. We should not be a part or funding or participating in

this entity, or any entity that is a proxy for the authoritarian will of our enemies. We should instead be honest with the American people, tell them what this is, and ensure that we get out of it as fast as we possibly can. Every means necessary needs to be done to do that, and so any attempt to do the contrary should be viewed by freedom loving people as a direct threat to their sovereignty. Let there be no mistake about this.

If this goes through, and it looks like the signature is imminent from this White House, if this goes through and they achieve their objectives, what this will mean is that you as a patient will not have the control over the doctor patient relationship and the physician will be obliged bureaucratically to ensure that the one size fits all demands of this of this World Health Organization override his or her independent professional judgment.

Frank Gaffney: Thank you, Jonathan Emord. A splendid opening characterization of the problem, the challenges that we're facing in contending with it and the necessity for acting to withdraw the United States from the World Health Organization, an idea that we're going to hear more about momentarily before we get too much into the weeds of the domestic questions of dealing with this supranational entity. We want to characterize a bit more fully its performance to date. And specifically, whether it warrants vastly expanded powers and the essentially unchecked, certainly unaccountable opportunity to exercise them.

To do that, I'm very pleased to say we have one of the. Truly courageous and, well, leading front line resisters in the medical community to both these vaccinations and what has been the official narrative about their effect and indeed to the larger China model of responding to the pandemic emanating from Wuhan. That in part at least, has involved these vaccine mandates and digital passports and so on. His name is Dr. Robert Malone.

He has been with us on a number of these programs, both those of the Committee on the Present Danger China and the task force that the committee co-sponsors with our friend Reggie Littlejohn, from whom we'll be hearing shortly from Women's Rights Without Frontiers. He is the author, as it happens, Jonathan spoke about the lies we've gotten from our government about so much of this. It is the title, in fact, of Dr. Malone's bestselling book, "Lies My Government Told Me and the Better Future Coming" is its full title. We are

delighted to have him with us always. Among other things, he has the insights, perspective and authority of a man who essentially invented the RNA technology. So, as they used to say about E.F. Hutton when Robert Malone speaks. People listen. We're happy to do that now and to have them with us here live. In fact, Doctor Malone, thank you for joining us. The floor is yours, sir.

Dr. Robert Malone: Thanks, Frank. I'm blushing right now. I really enjoyed the prior presentation. I think that was dead on. What we have here is a situation of an organization, a non-governmental organization, really. It poses as if it has the authorization of the world government. And it executes treaties with other entities. But the World Health Organization, as you have correctly identified, has largely been captured by the CCP, as well as the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, who has provided substantial funding. And it has long been an arm of American diplomacy traditionally and frankly has been seen as having an intelligence component to its activities in terms of the American intelligence infrastructure. But now in its current embodiment, it's a deeply corrupted organization.

It went through a period a few years ago of a relative deficit of funding. And one of the consequences of that was that it had to restructure its activities. And I can tell you personally from my own experience, as well as from what I've learned from many others, the W.H.O. has decided on a business model in which it solicits donations from Pharma. And those donations can come in the form of direct capital or full-time employees that are subsidized by Pharma and placed in positions of influence and directorships. Et cetera. Within the World Health Organization. So, they're relying on capital from the CCP, from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and from Pharma to support their ongoing activities. I once had a client back when we were advancing an Ebola vaccine that was a very small biotech based in Ames, Iowa, that I saw directly getting shaken down by the director general of the World Health Organization in a play in which it was asserted that, well, since this small company with its candidate vaccine (which, by the way, eventually became the Merck Ebola vaccine) was now being considered seriously as one of the main candidates for the world for Ebola vaccination, they had an obligation to provide capital to the World Health Organization. That's kind of the way this game is played.

So, the WHO organization has been corrupted for a number of years now, and the CCP as is

its wont, is very good at exploiting these types of cracks in organizations to its own end. We've seen this again and again, for instance, with American universities with the infiltration of CCP interests through the sponsorship of programs and giving of donations. Et cetera.

Now you're speaking specifically about the international health regulations modification to the 2005 IHRs that were endorsed previously. And this is an initiative that has absolutely come up through the Biden administration, particularly through the Department of Health and Human Services, as a series of recommended modifications to the international health regulations. And it appears to be advanced in a way that to the eyes of Ron Johnson and I think 17 or 18 other senators is an intentional play to circumvent the approval process in the Senate for treaties. So, they appear to be trying to backdoor major changes in US policy that, as was mentioned in the prior, would concede American sovereignty, US sovereignty, as well as that of other member nation states to this global transnational organization which as you're correctly identifying, is largely been co-opted by the CCP and which we've seen in practice how it does business over the last three years.

So just to put a pin on these international health regulations, they were forwarded for consideration last February, as I recall. So, a full year ago, and they proposed a structure in which the World Health Organization would have the global authority to determine public health policy and this authority would be vested with the director general currently, Mr. Tedros. That it could determine a world health emergency for virtually any cause. So, there's no restrictions on what would be the criteria determining a world health emergency. It could be an emergency of abortions. It could be an emergency of gun violence. It could be a public health emergency. It's whatever the director general would determine to be a global health emergency or a national health emergency. And then the director general and the W.H.O. could then have the authority to impose policies to mitigate that public health emergency that would be required of individual member states.

This also had clauses in it that would authorize the W.H.O. to basically have surveillance monitors, what some might call spies, located within these independent nation states to identify potential public health risks and to act as the eyes and ears of the World Health

Organization.

Now, a valid question is what enforcement capabilities does W.H.O. have? The answer is none. So, it would seem on the surface that this is all a paper exercise. But in fact, the modifications propose that the World Trade Organization be authorized as the enforcement arm for the World Health Organization. And so if a nation state were to fail to implement the policies that the W.H.O. would recommend, then potentially the World Trade Organization would implement sanctions. And we've seen how those kinds of international sanctions are deployed in many other contexts.

So what happened is that a prior initiative and discussion was based on these IHR that we (the Biden administration) promoted for discussion. As independent nation states became aware of this, there were huge objections raised mostly in African nations as well as Brazil under Bolsonaro. And they were able to cause the W.H.O. to stand down on approval and implementation in that prior round of negotiations and defer the subsequent discussion to a follow up meeting, which just occurred a few days ago. And my understanding is that the documents that have come out of that meeting now have incorporated vague language about the importance of respecting sovereignty of individual member states and some very kind of soft, squishy language about the role of the W.H.O. and the primacy of the sovereignty of individual nation states. And then that revised language has been kicked back for further review.

So, my understanding is that we have managed to bypass this immediate threat of implementation, but I'm not positive that all of this is being handled this time under much more of a layer of secrecy, and the transparency that I think most of us would want now is absent.

Is there a risk that the W.H.O. would act in an arbitrary and capricious manner in the in the context of declaring a public health emergency? I argue that we've seen an example of that with the monkeypox in which there was the declaration of a global health emergency, which I don't think very many would advocate is truly a global health emergency. The numbers are relatively modest. The numbers of deaths are even more modest. It's (Monkeypox) primarily restricted to a few more Western nation states. The extent that there

is a threat with monkeypox is restricted to a particular population, basically men who have sex with men. But the practice of the W.H.O. is revealed by what transpired here.

There was an advisory committee meeting called by Mr. Tedros in which there was a determination that this was not a global public health threat and it should not be named as such. And then Mr. Tedros revised the composition of that committee to be more ostensibly knowledgeable about monkeypox and more representative of the Sociologic group that was experiencing monkeypox primarily. And then he reconvened another meeting to determine whether or not this was a public health a global public health crisis. And the committee voted nine against and six for that declaration, which Mr. Tedros then declared was a tie, which he had to break. So, he unilaterally declared that this was a public health emergency. That series of events clearly illustrates the willingness of Mr. Tedros and the W.H.O. to act in an arbitrary and capricious fashion. And I think that illustrates what we're likely to encounter going forward if they if our executive branch succeeds in bypassing the will of the Senate and unilaterally executing a global agreement in which we would concede our sovereignty to manage our own public health and for our physicians to be able to act independently.

As was mentioned in the prior last point, I want to make, look at what's happened over the last three years. Look at the flip flops and gross mismanagement that's characterized the World Health Organization's response to this outbreak. Look at the gross overreaction that they have promoted. Look at their willingness to engage with tech and big media and the trusted news initiative to reinforce and brand any information other than their official party line as mis or disinformation. And in this way, to suppress any meaningful scientific discourse or medical discourse, as well as the development of early treatment strategies that could have saved millions and millions of lives. This is an example of what we've seen over the last three years of the of, as I mentioned, the arbitrary, capricious and grossly incompetent level of management that we've come to expect from this corrupted organization that we call the World Health Organization. And all over the world, we're seeing the starting of various initiatives to create an alternative structure to replace this corrupted and incompetent organization.

Frank Coffey: Thank you very much, Dr. Malone. We'll be coming back to you on all of

FRANK GANNEY. I thank you very much, Dr. Malone. We'll be coming back to you on all of these with a few questions in a moment or two before we do. We're going to take stock of these amendments that you've referred to with one of your distinguished colleagues, a man who was unfortunately unable to be with us today in person or to provide a videotape for this purpose. But hopefully he appeared in a webinar that the Stop Vaccine Passports Task Force convened on the 27th of February that focused in on the what we called "Every Breath you Take" of looking at the W.H.O. as a vehicle for this now surveillance state digital gulag that is of such great concern to many of us. His name is Dr. David Bell. He formerly worked at the World Health Organization and is intimately familiar with some of the sorts of things that Dr. Malone spoke of the corruption, this mismanagement, the sheer malfeasance, and also the ways in which it conducted itself in the course of this initial pandemic. He is these days a senior scholar at the highly regarded Brownstone Institute, and we are delighted to have him with us, bringing his expertise as a public health medical officer and a biotech consultant in global health to bear, as he did in this program. On the topic of the amendments to the World Health Organization's international health regulations and attempt to implement an existential change in sovereign rights and international relations.

Let's go to Dr. Bell's video now.

Dr. David Bell: People need to understand the background to what is going on and the current amendments to the international health regulations, the treaty, as it is called, or instrument that is going alongside the Derbyshire developing are the result of a few decades of big changes in the global health. So, the World Health Organization was set up originally after the Second World War. As a country-based organization, there is a lot of emphasis on decolonization and removal of central authority and emphasis on communities coming out of the colonialist eras of the 1930 and fascism, etcetera. And the WHO was one of many organizations that was set up to try to stop that happening again. So that ran pretty much in that way for a long time. It was almost solely funded by countries. Countries have a say in what happens.

This has changed over the last couple of decades in two ways. One, there's been an increase in private funding, corporate and private individuals. And these have now become

one of the biggest funders of W.H.O. And secondly, the funding is very much directed. So rather than core funding, the funders decide what their funding will be used for.

It's fair to movement from disease burden-based emphasis of the World Health Organization has shifted to an emphasis on profit. So, there are two instruments here, the International Health Regulations (IHR) and this core of the pandemic treaty or it's called the Plus and its recent zero draft. And they do slightly different things, but they complement each other.

The International Health regulations have been around for 20 years. They have the force of international law, but they are considered to be recommendations of the WHO. And what the amendments will do in their current form, is that they will go through a few more committee meetings before May this year when they are voted on by countries.

These amendments greatly expand the definition of health emergencies, including a sort of one health definition which really includes anything that could potentially impact well-being or health. And it includes potential threats rather than real threats. And there's a bureaucracy, a bureaucracy of surveillance that's being set up. We will find many potential threats.

The DG, the Director-General of WHO as an individual will have sole power to declare an emergency and to stop an emergency later.

And the other important thing is that the amendments in their current form change recommendation to be binding. So, when countries sign this, if the wording is not changed, they will be signing up to a binding agreement to do what the W.H.O. does in any health threat.

Another important aspect of this is the way it addresses human rights and the original language of the international health regulations from 20 years ago or so, includes very similar language to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights after the Second World War. This is being changed to equity coherence and inclusivity, which are really meaningless terms. And importantly, it is also basing these on the social and economic

context. So, this is taking the whole basis of individual sovereignty, sovereignty and all people equal and turning this into all people are not equal, but someone who decides based on economic and social assessment of that person will decide what rights they have. And these rights are very, very powerful.

The international health regulations at the moment include recommendations from the WHO and the DG to do things like closing borders, forced quarantine, confinement of individuals, forced medical examinations, requiring injection, etcetera. But in changing these from recommendations to a binding agreement, what this means is that WHO can tell a person in the United States or Malawi or Vietnam to be injected with a vaccine, for instance, or to have a medical examination or to be confined at home, and rather than having someone even in a country to do this or in a local community, assessing public health risks, this is someone in a completely different part of the world giving broad. Orders on how people should be treated. So, this is completely undoing the whole idea of individual sovereignty and community-based care that who was based on.

The treaty mechanism, which will be voted on next year and this is what the IMB committee at WHO is looking at, is in some ways a softer in the wording because it seems to assume that the amendments to the IFR will get through. So, the treaty essentially provides money. It talks about 5% at least, of global health of health budgets going to emergencies. It sets up a mechanism for supply that WHO will control. And the global governing board for the treaty and for this whole pandemic or emergency preparedness agenda, which will be within WHO it appears. So, it's really putting the bureaucracy there to support the changes, quite egregious changes that are proposed in the international health regulations.

We need to stop both of these. They are both a vast overreach in terms of public health, as we've seen from COVID and the COVID response, which these are planning to, you know, very clearly will replicate and intensify. They are bad for public health. They impoverished people. There's a vast increase in other infectious diseases, in malnutrition, etcetera, directly as a result of what has been done. And it was all predictable. So, and the concentration of this has been very much to the very people who are promoting this agenda and who have been increasingly funding W.H.O. It's really using taxpayers' money to

concentrate wealth, to impoverish the majority, reduce health, and to concentrate the wealth in the hands of these sponsors. I think we do need some sort of international health mechanism.

We need coordination between countries. We need a network that will support countries when they need it and when they ask for it. And when communities ask for it. But this is going very much in the opposite direction. This is a danger to health, is a danger to individual sovereignty and national sovereignty. It's also it's just unravelling the whole decolonization and the strength of the human rights agenda that we've developed over really 100 years.

So how do we combat this? Firstly, we need people to understand what is there, and we need the general public to understand and we need legislators to understand, and not just in the United States. We need this globally. This affects all countries. And what when we damage other countries, we damage humanity, but we also damage the United States. So, this is a fundamentally different approach to human rights. People need to understand that. They need to understand that this is unravelling what was fought for in the Second World War, in other wars in this country, the fight against slavery, the fight against discrimination, etcetera. This is unravelling the whole concepts that drove those so people need to understand this. They need to put pressure on legislators and legislators need to stop this from happening. There are different ways of doing that. But non-compliance once is in place, is going to be very difficult.

The WHO either needs to know that this is completely unacceptable and the countries that are pushing this and the people that are pushing this need to know is completely unacceptable and has to be completely withdrawn or we need to stop paying attention to these institutions that are doing this, including the WHO, etcetera. So that could mean in the end, the WHO needs funding from countries.

It doesn't make sense to fund something that is a net harm. In that case, we would need to completely replace it with an organization that is completely different, that doesn't have conflicts of interest, that responds to people and not for profit. There are different ways that legislators can deal with this but they need to feel the pressure from the people to stop

that legislators can deal with this, but they need to feel the pressure from the people to stop this.

We really need to stop the amendments from going through, but they very likely will, at least in a different form than now, because nobody needs to 50% vote. The second part needs a two-thirds vote of the World Health Organization because it's a new treaty. But in either case, if they do go through, they need to be completely undone. And this and other countries need to make it very clear that they're not going to comply with any of their provisions.

Frank Gaffney: Thank you very much, Dr. Bell, for that extraordinarily important testimony borne of your own firsthand experience inside the belly of the beast of the World Health Organization, a warning that should be heard, it seems to me, by everyone worldwide, especially your indictment of the attack on fundamental human rights that is at the core of this effort. We'll talk more about that in the Q&A momentarily. We're going to turn next, however, to a woman I've mentioned already who is a co-founder of this. Committee on the present danger China, one of its leading lights. Also, the co-sponsor with me of the Stop Vaccine Passports Task Force. Her name is Reggie Littlejohn, and she is a frequent contributor to these programs, I'm very happy to say, as well as an inspiration for the most important of them. We're very happy to have her with us to talk about this piece of the overall picture that's been touched on by previous speakers, namely the. Effort to obtain information and control through digital technology. Identity cards, passports, whatever you wish to call them that are now going to be promulgated by the World Health Organization if it obtains these global powers truly worldwide, to the great detriment of not only nation, national sovereignty, but also individual freedoms.

So, Reggie Littlejohn, welcome once again to these webinars. It's good to have you over to you.

Reggie Littlejohn: Thank you so much, Frank. I'm glad to be here. So, we are in the battle of our lives, and most of us don't even know it. The current status right now, as I understand it, is the World Health Organization has been negotiating the international health regulations. A couple of weeks ago, they finished their big meeting of their working

group on that. And then just last week, their working group on the pandemic treaty, which they're calling an accord so that they can subvert the treaty process that ended just last Friday.

And so, my understanding is that these two separate working groups are now going to come up with additional drafts which are going to be presented at the World Health Assembly. Now, The International Health Regulation amendments, I believe may very well be voted on as early as this May 2nd months from now. The Pandemic Treaty Agreement, Accord Instrument, whatever is slated to be voted on at the World Health Assembly in 2024. But I would not put it past them to say, you know what, we're done discussing this. Let's just pass it in 2023. We don't know what they're going to do.

The third instrument that we need to be worried about is that in the NDAA, the National Defense Authorization Act, it was pointed out to me by Dr. Francis Boyle, a panelist on our previous webinar, that there is language in that act, which passed on December 23rd, 2020 to the very end of the last Congress. It's 1,772 pages long. And on page 950, there is to be found something called the International Pandemic Preparedness Act of 2022, which contains in it language that Dr. Boyle argues (and I agree), language that would pre-approve whatever agreements come out of the W.H.O. So, we need to alert people to this and it needs to be rescinded or amended. So that's the first thing. Now, Frank has asked me to talk about some of the terrible provisions in these two things now and the proposed amendments to the international health regulations. As Dr. Bell mentioned, the word non-binding has been deleted because they want to make this binding, which is an invasion of our sovereignty as a nation. You know, it's one thing for them to recommend stuff for us to do, and then we'll say yes or no. It's another thing for them to tell us how to manage our health care. In other words, you have to have lockdowns, you have to have quarantines, you have to have vaccine mandates. That is an invasion of our sovereignty.

Then also something else is that that if these international health regulation amendments are approved, it will give the director general the power to declare not only public health risks, but potential public health risks, which could be anything. It gives him way too much power to do that. And then as Dr. Bell mentioned, the language that was in the previous the current international health recommendations has been or regulations has been stricken.

This language that these regulations shall be with the full respect for the dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms of persons. Why are they striking that that's concerning.

Now, in terms of the zero-draft treaty, the agreement states, quote, the parties commit to strengthen multi-sectoral coordinated, interoperable and integrated one health surveillance systems. So, this is this is a surveillance system. And I'll tell you what, when health is in a minute, but coordinated, interoperable and integrated. Interoperable. Interoperable. Integrated with what? With the systems. The surveillance systems worldwide, including China. Right.

So, this is going to establish a Chinese Communist Party style surveillance system on the entire world. And so, what does that mean? Okay, these surveillance systems will give them give the whole world the ability to support something like the Chinese social credit system, where every single part of your existence is not only tracked but integrated, to come up with a score about how compliant of a of a citizen that you are.

So currently in China and then under this this integrated interoperable system. They will track facial recognition real time geolocation, your entire medical history. Your entire criminal history. Your social media posts, your internet search history. Your Internet spending history. And then also combine those with connecting them to your bank account and your credit card so that if you are deemed to be a non-compliant citizen, which I would say that I probably would be deemed that way.

And I think a lot of people in this webinar are counter-narrative to what the WHO wants to do. We are we are in danger of being deemed as non-compliant. They can do things like not changing our health status from a green to a red on our cell phones. We can't travel. We won't be able to borrow money, our kids won't be able to go to a good school and they can ultimately shut us off from our credit cards and our bank accounts and even disappear us, which happens frequently in China to dissidents. We have to stop this. And by the way, the World Health Organization has already engaged Deutsche Telekom to create these interoperable international, you know, basically vaccine passports or digital IDs.

Now, beyond that, also in the treaty, Article 17, page 23, the draft that they were just

negotiating. So, again, they have they may have changed this. I doubt that they will change this in the next draft. It states the parties commit to increase pandemic literacy in the population and tackle false, misleading, misinformation or disinformation. Each party (and a party is a country or a state) is encouraged to conduct regular "social listening and analysis" to identify the prevalence and profiles of misinformation and to counteract misinformation, disinformation and false news, thereby strengthening public trust.

What does that mean? It means that they that whatever the W.H.O. says and we know how much false information talk about misinformation, disinformation, mean they were the main source of it coming down through the Chinese Communist Party to the world, causing millions of deaths. And there were doctors who disagreed with what they were saying. But if you disagree with what they're saying, then you will be charged with misinformation or disinformation through social listening, meaning that they are going to be monitoring our social media accounts.

And then all of this is going to go into our social credit score to persecute us. What this basically does is it sets up a global ministry of truth, similar to the Biden administration's disinformation governance board that they tried to set up. So now I want to talk about the one health approach. So, all of this is not going to just apply to human pandemic health. One, health is defined by the CDC as the interconnection between people, animals, plants and their shared environments. So, under the one health approach, basically there could be a health risk that would apply to people or animals or plants or the environment. Could be anything, could be climate change, could be gun violence, could be anything else that they choose to characterize as a public health, a potential public health risk, enabling them to move into the country without that country's consent.

That's another amendment to the international health regulations that enables them to do that. So that would certainly destroy our national sovereignty. And then there's another one that people don't talk about much, which is called the whole of government and the whole of society approach. This is paragraph 16 on page eight of the proposed pandemic treaty, which states that a whole of government and whole of society approach for pandemic preparedness and response is required. So, what is whole of government? What is whole of society? This could possibly be in a CDC style lockdown, quarantine, forced

society? This could possibly bring in CCP style lockdowns, quarantines, forced vaccinations, as Dr. Bell mentioned.

And does the WHO envisage envision commandeering the media, education, transportation, banking, the military all to force compliance? So, this is a completely this is a nightmare. What it does is it sets up a global biomass vehicle, totalitarian surveillance state. It must be stopped. And what I believe is our best chance of stopping it is by conditioning the raising of the debt ceiling to withdrawal from the World Health Organization. Thank you.

Frank Gaffney: Thank you, Reggie, very much. James Roguski is another frequent contributor to our programs in this well, world health environment in particular. He is an activist. He is a blogger. He is an author on Substack, among other places, and an irrepressible champion for freedom. In the face of the concerted efforts we've been discussing on the part of the Chinese Communist Party and their puppets at the World Health Organization, other globalists, the World Education, World Economic Forum, rather, as well as, of course, the Biden administration. We're always happy to have him visit with us on this occasion. He has produced a PowerPoint presentation that we're going to take aboard quickly. It's fairly short and we'll turn to it at this point. James Roguski.

James Roguski: In mid-December of 2022, the Working Group on Amendments for the International Health Regulations first published a 197-page document, which included over 300 proposed amendments to the International Health Regulations. They republished that document on February 6th, 2023. For nearly three months, the mainstream, the alternative media and politicians around the world have either mischaracterized, misrepresented or completely ignored the proposed amendments from a number of nations around the world that are designed to enslave humanity in a digital prison. Let me review just some of these proposed amendments. On page 29 of the 197-page document, the Czech Republic on behalf of the 27 member nations of the European Union, proposed the institution of digital passenger locator forms, which would essentially institute contact tracing for all travelers around the world. In addition to these proposed amendments to Article 23, the Czech Republic, on behalf of the 27 member nations of the European Union, pretty much doubled down and repeated that under Article 35. In Article 36, they expanded on the idea to

include test certificates, recovery certificates, vaccination and prophylaxis certificates. In their proposed amendments to Annex six, they made it clear that these certificates would be issued in digital or paper form and in their most egregiously horrible, unconscionable proposed amendment, they proposed that signatures by the parent for a child or by the Guardian or witness for an illiterate person would not be required in the digital format. I will leave it to the viewer to comprehend the ramifications of a global digital health certificate that would not require a parent or guardian signature.

On page 67, the proposed amendment from India would include documents containing information for a laboratory test in digital or physical format. On page 83, Indonesia also specified that these new certificates would be in digital or. Format and would require a QR code. On page 169, the Russian Federation, on behalf of the Member States of the Eurasian Economic Union, mirrored India's proposed amendments to include laboratory tests. And finally, on pages 194 and 195, Uruguay, on behalf of the Member States of the Southern Common Market, proposed a new Travelers health declaration that would provide better information about travel itinerary in order to facilitate contact tracing. In addition, Uruguay also proposed, and I quote, when a public health emergency of international concern has been declared for the purposes of entry and exit of international travelers in a scenario of voluntary vaccination using products still at the research phase or subject to very limited availability.

Vaccination certificates should be considered approved, and they also proposed two scenarios the maximum scenario being a certification of vaccination history via QR code in digital or paper format that directs to the official site of the country of origin to retrieve the vaccination information in what is absolutely a clear attack on privacy and health freedom. We, the people around the world, must stand up against these proposed amendments to the International Health Regulations.

Please be aware that anyone who mentions the word treaty is talking about a different document. These proposed amendments are nowhere to be found in the proposed pandemic treaty. These are found in the 197-page document published by the Working Group on Amendments to the International Health Regulations. These amendments can be adopted during the World Health Assembly via the consensus feature often used at the

adopted during the World Health Assembly via the consensus feature often used at the Assembly, whereby a document is submitted for consideration and can be adopted by the simple silence of all of the delegates at the Assembly. Anyone who insists on engaging in a theoretical argument about the proper means by which the Senate would give its advice and consent in regards to a treaty is clearly misunderstanding the situation that is very, very different regarding the means by which proposed amendments to the International Health Regulations are to be adopted at the 75th World Health Assembly in May of 2022. Amendments were adopted in this manner.

No signature by a Prime Minister or president was required and no advice and consent from the Senate or any other parliamentary body was sought. This type of adoption of proposed amendments happened last year. It could happen again this year or it could happen next year.

Silence equals consent. We must speak up loudly against these proposed amendments that seek to enslave humanity in a digital prison. For more information, please visit [Reject Digital enslavement.com](http://RejectDigitalenslavement.com).

Frank Gaffney: James Roguski, thank you for this very helpfully expands the specifics of the case that Reggie Littlejohn just made and does so in a way, especially on the procedural side that I think is vital for. Both our understanding of where we are at the moment and what may be coming very quickly next. Finally, we're going to wrap up with a pre-recorded message from Congresswoman Michele Bachmann, now retired from the Congress. She is these days the dean of the Robertson School of Government at Regent University, a very actively engaged in this particular effort to expose, challenge and ultimately defeat. These sorts of trends or supranational organizations diminishing of our sovereignty, if not crushing it outright. We're delighted to have her with us. And we will go to the videotape with Congresswoman Michele Bachmann now.

Michele Bachmann: Well, thank you so much for having me on with you today. I am so grateful because this to me is the number one front burner issue of the moment. It seems like every week there's another disaster that we read about. This one really is a disaster. And you may have heard about this last year. We were dealing with this last year when the

Biden administration under the radar was trying to push 29 different amendments to the World Health Assembly that was scheduled to meet in Geneva, Switzerland, at the end of May in 2022. People were outraged when they heard what the Biden administration attempted to do with what they wanted to do.

Bottom line is to turn the World Health Organization, which is the health care arm of the United Nations. There are 194 nations that are a part of the World Health Organization. Virtually all the nations on Earth and the Biden administration wanted to change the function, the bottom-line function of the World Health Organization from being an advisory body without mandatory powers or controls into a fully authoritative mandatory body. So, think of Dr. Fauci and the CDC here in the United States during the 2 to 3 years that we lived under the draconian COVID mandates. But the Biden administration wanted to do last year was then empower the World Health Organization with the same level of draconian powers that were wielded by Dr. Fauci and the CDC, the Centers for Disease Control. He wanted to give that level of power to an international body.

So, in other words, what this would mean is we would have no place of appeal. We couldn't go to our local mayor or to our local county. We couldn't go to our local state legislators or to our governor to appeal any mandates that were put upon us for perhaps another pandemic that would break out. We couldn't even go to our United States representatives or United States senators or even to the president of the United States, nor would we have access to our court system. We wouldn't be able to file claims because under what the Biden administration wanted to do last year and thankfully it was defeated by Botswana, an African nation who objected and said, we're not going to go along with this, The World Health Assembly. And in Geneva, Switzerland, that's the governing body that controls the World Health Organization.

So, because of that, I really, truly believe that the prayers of many Americans were answered and we were saved for a year. We were given a one-year reprieve. Well, the Biden administration is back this year not just on one track, but on a dual track. So, they are trying to pass both a treaty which they call an accord. So, they want to pass a treaty to achieve the same goal that would be achieved through the World Health Organization that's going to meet in the end of May.

Now, this treaty is bizarre. There's probably 30 some pages worth of amendments that the Biden administration is putting forward, things like allowing the UN or World Health Organization to take into consideration things like racism and climate change if they decide to declare a public health emergency. Now, the Biden administration is saying that the treaty or accord wouldn't require US Senate approval. So, this is what people have been very upset about, this idea of a treaty because this has been talked about and a lot of different venues where it is actually more likely to pass is on this second track, and that's at the World Health Assembly that will be meeting. It's scheduled to meet in Geneva, Switzerland, the last week of May. That's not very long from now. So, it's the end of February when we're recording this. Then you have the end of March, the end of April, the end of May. So, we're a little more than three months out at this point for the time that we have left. Not a lot of time, but we need to understand what these amendments want to do.

The EU is keen on passing these amendments and what is it the EU wants to achieve. They want to ensure that global digital health care. Passports are passed in the World Health Assembly. So that would include track and trace. Each one of us on our phone or on a sheet of paper, we would have a QR code. We would be surveilled not by our own government, but by an international body controlled by the United Nations, the World Health Organization, the executive director, a man named Tedros, who's just been re-upped for another five years, would essentially become a global dictator, not just in the area of health care. He would have dictatorial powers where he could declare a public health emergency of international concern, and then he would have the power to send monitors into any nation he so chooses. And the nation would be forced because their powers would be mandatory under the World Health Organization to comply with mandates from the World Health Organization.

Well, you might say, well, we can push back here in the United States. So, what if this passes in Geneva, Switzerland? We don't have to comply. How would the World Health Organization possibly enforce these mandates here in the United States? It's very simple. It is. How willing are the politicians who are in charge? The politicians who are in charge are the Joe Biden administration. This effort is being pushed by Joe Biden. He wants to give

away US sovereignty and health care to the World Health Organization. So, he would effectively be the enforcement arm for the World Health Organization, just like the EU, who wants to give away European sovereignty to the World Health Organization, they would be the enforcers in Europe, just like Australia and New Zealand who seek to give up this power. This is bizarre. Think of this.

So, you have the greatest democratic nations in the world seeking to give up the sovereign right of the people. In a definitive way. It could never be pulled back. That power. They would give away the national sovereignty of the nation on decision making to the UN and international health care body. We would not be able to get out of this once it is mandated, because then the World Health Organization would even have the power to order that our nation would spend money to meet the demands of the World Health Organization. We would be mandated to hand over health supplies or to pay for more health supplies or to create equity, quote, where it's a redistribution of wealth through health care. This is an extremely bizarre effort that the Biden administration is seeking to give away global authority, national authority to the UN.

But this is what we need to understand. Once this happens, this would create a perch for one world government. Administered through the World Health Organization, the health care arm of the UN. This is extremely serious and we have to stop it now in the next three months.

Two ways that we could go about doing this. Here's the first; Representative Chip Roy of Texas is seeking to get the attention of Speaker of the House Kevin McCarthy to attach the United States, leaving the World Health Organization and defunding the World Health Organization as a part of the debt ceiling bill. This is a very good thing, and I urge everyone, contact your local representative to have them get on board with what Chip Roy is trying to do. Here's the second; Andy Biggs, a representative from Arizona, has a bill called H.R. 79 to demand that the United States exit the World Health Organization and to stop paying money to the World Health Organization. Both of these are very good tracks, and I encourage you to get on board.

We also need to find a Senate sponsor for the companion bill to exit the World Health

Organization. So, contact your senator and ask them to do that as well. And remember, there's always time for prayer. So, I encourage everyone to continue to pray, not despair. Don't give up hope. This is our time to act together. Remember, we overthrew slavery.

No one thought it could be done. The Berlin Wall came down. No one thought it would be done. Apartheid ended in South Africa. No one thought it could be done. This can be done. It was stopped last year. We can do it this year. But we need to get the attention of our representative in DC and our senators. And I think together we can do this.

Frank Gaffney: Congresswoman Bachmann, thank you. I couldn't ask for a better closing and rallying cry from any of you. And I so appreciate this being now part of the record that we've compiled here. We are down to one participant. She happens to be a utility infielder, so I'm not the least bit concerned about sending her a question or two that she will not be capable of addressing very, very capably. I want to thank all of those who joined us by video but are no longer obviously with us and can't comment. But Reggie Littlejohn, to you. One of the powerful takeaways from all of this is, is the point that Congresswoman Bachmann made you did earlier, and I think others as well, namely, that there is afoot at this moment. Something that we've been hearing about as a kind of distant ambition of globalists and communists and well, for that matter, Islamists and others who seek global domination. World government is a rubric under which it's often described. Do you think on the basis of your study of this document and the two drafts that are now emerging and kind of the efforts that Michelle talked about of the European Union and Australia and New Zealand and of course the Biden administration, among others, that this is now overwrought, that that we're ascribing to the agenda here, something that is this kind of fantasy without real basis for those concerns. Or is that really what's now decidedly in prospect?

Reggie Littlejohn: Well, Frank, I would encourage anyone who thinks that what we're saying is overblown to read the documents. I mean, I just quoted the documents. It's there in plain black and white that they want to. Okay. So, this is my opinion. I believe that the World Economic Forum and the United Nations want to establish a one world government, a great reset, and that they are using the World Health Organization as the arm to do that, because one of the greatest motivators of people is fear. So, if they are able to terrify us, either through COVID 19 in the beginning or through some updated COVID virus or

either through COVID-19 in the beginning or through some updated COVID virus or maybe through monkeypox or maybe through Ebola, whatever it is, people are willing to give up freedom because they are afraid of getting really sick or dying some kind of a horrible death. Right. Like from Ebola. And so that's the that's the mechanism by which they're going to get people to agree to be surveilled that that we need to counter misinformation and disinformation, that we need to have a global surveillance system to keep us all safe. And as I have said and as Michel has said, once this is in place, once this surveillance system is in place, and especially if we combine it with a central bank, digital currency and a cashless society, they will be able to shut us off from any dissent because they'll be, you know, as soon as you dissent, they'll shut you off from your credit cards and your bank account, and you won't be able to use cash. So, these amendments are coming up, I believe, for a vote at the end of May. And all they require is a 50% vote at the World Health Organization, something people need to understand about the World Health Organization and the World Health Assembly, as Michele Bachmann mentioned. There are 194 countries. Each country has one vote so that the United States vote is the same as a vote from the smallest country you can think of or the vote from China is the same. It doesn't matter how big the country is, it doesn't matter how powerful the country is. But this is something that could put us under a totalitarian regime that that that we didn't vote for and that we have no control over other than by withdrawing entirely from the W.H.O., as is proposed by Representative Biggs, and has been done in the past by former President Donald Trump. And I would urge everyone, as Michele Bachmann mentioned, to alert your congressman and senator by going to [stop VAX passports.org](https://stopvaxpassports.org). That's [stop VAX passports.org](https://stopvaxpassports.org). And shortly there will be an action item up there where you can sign up to alert your representatives to the impending dangers and to what they can do about it on a legislative level.

Frank Gaffney: Thank you. This is such an important initiative. Reggie, there are a number of efforts underway to both raise this alarm and vector attention. And I think particularly what is being called for by you and Michelle Bachmann in terms of using the leverage that currently exists for this debt ceiling. Increase to exact. Not just a commitment, not just the promise of some sort of legislative initiative, but to put in motion as a condition precedent to any adjustment to the debt ceiling, the formal notification of the United States withdrawal from the World Health Organization. That seems to me to be an absolutely

withdrawal from the World Health Organization. That seems to me to be an absolutely minimal demand at this point, given the stakes that we've described here today. I would hope that both sides of the aisle would embrace this idea, given that nothing less than the sovereignty and the future of our country is on the line. Reggie, I did want to just go through the timeline on this with you one more time, because it's a little unclear to me and maybe to others as well. We've had these two weeks of meetings on these various drafts, drafts of regulation, amendments and drafts of a new document, a new treaty or accord agreement, whatever they call it, one of which will be considered the amendments in the upcoming World Health Assembly meeting at the end of May. You have raised the question, and I think you're right to do so, that quite possibly the accord, the treaty, whatever it is, will also be taken up. What happens then? There's a majority vote for the first, a two thirds majority vote, I think James said. For the second, whether silence is consent or whether people actually formally vote for these arrangements. Is it right that, as I understand it, they will provisionally go into effect even before there is any kind of process in the states in question to, for example, in our system have a ratification debate.

Reggie Littlejohn: Well, Frank, I've heard that that there is some kind of a provisionality provision. I have not seen it myself. That doesn't mean that it doesn't exist. I think it was Dr. Francis Boyle, if I'm not mistaken, that said that that they will go in provisionally. I think he did so. But in any case, we need to really. Raise the awareness of this right now because the international health regulations are certainly going to be coming up in at the end of May. There's going to be another draft, I believe, of them being circulated and then discussed in at some point in April. And then in terms of the pandemic treaty, which Senator Ron Johnson rightly is saying is the pandemic accord, which Senator Johnson is rightfully saying is the treaty that is scheduled to come up in May of 2024. But just because the World Health Assembly could choose to ambush us, they could just say, you know what, we thought it was going to take us longer to come up with our recommendation, but we've come up with it, you know, now. And so, we're just going to vote on it now. I mean, I wouldn't put anything past them. So. So it's possible that they could both come up this May. Now, the one thing I would say about the Ron Johnson proposed legislation is it really only deals with the thing that is called a pandemic accord instrument, the thing that we've been calling a treaty. But I would argue that the international health regulations are also should also rightfully be called a treaty because they also impact our national sovereignty

should also rightly be called a treaty, because they also impact our national sovereignty and things that impact national sovereignty should be called a treaty, even though they are disguised or are masquerading as simply amendments to previous regulations.

Frank Gaffney: I think this is a critical point. And Reggie, the reason that I think this argument for immediate action seems to me to be so necessary is that if, let's say nothing else is done, the agreement comes into effect in May provisionally, and then there's some period of time before we do anything about it. In the meantime, these arrangements are institutionalized. There may even be, who knows, some dictates handed down by Dr. Tedros that would be demonstrating the WHO's authority. And again, as several of our speakers have made clear, it doesn't have to be a pandemic, folks. It can be whatever Dr. Tedros thinks or his Chinese communist masters think is a public health emergency. And whether that's a, you know, gun violence or whether it's, you know, some sort of repercussions of, you know, inadequate access to abortion or whether that's undocumented migrants, as they're now being called, are being inadequately cared for or the climate issues, who knows? It could become a vehicle for asserting authority and whether it's as has been suggested. The Biden administration who is doing the enforcing or it's the World Trade Organization, for heaven's sakes, talk about global governance. This sounds like what they're about. So, for all these reasons, I just want to leave our audience with this really important message. This is no drill. This is taking place as we speak. I think the agenda is being pretty well telegraphed. It's been brilliantly illuminated by our speakers today. It has given rise to arguably the most serious threat to the sovereignty of this country, certainly ever freely accepted by a government of the United States. And it must be stopped. It must be stopped in its tracks. So, we encourage you to communicate with Congressman Chip Roy, who has been mentioned in this connection as trying to help get this debt ceiling leverage utilized to extricate us from the World Health Organization. Congressman Andy Biggs is to be commended for his legislation. The Speaker of the House needs to be encouraged to bring bills to the floor that do this. And more to the point, make it an absolute prerequisite for assent to raising the debt ceiling, to protect the freedom of the American people and the sovereignty from those that the World Health Organization and elsewhere who clearly have in mind taking it from us. This is the fight of our lives. Think, as Reggie said at the beginning, and it is one we cannot lose or certainly we can lose, but we mustn't lose. With that, I just want to say thank you to all of our speakers. We had really an

...must close. With that, I just want to say thank you to all of our speakers. We had really an incredible array of expertise. And Jonathan Emord, Dr. Robert Malone, Dr. David Bell, Reggie Littlejohn, James Roguski, and of course, Congresswoman Michele Bachmann. I want to thank not only them, but all of you for taking this information aboard, taking it, I hope to heart. And then as Dede will say again momentarily, please take this video and for that matter, the others in this series and make them as widely available as you can. We will have shortly a new book that tries to distill the essence of many of them. It's called The Indictment, and it is about prosecuting the Chinese Communist Party for its war crimes against America and its other crimes against humanity. This is a coming attraction. Stay tuned for more on that shortly. In the meantime, thank you again for listening. And I'm turning the floor back over to Dede Laugesen. This is Frank Gaffney, over and out.

Dede Laugesen: Thank you, Frank. And thanks to all of our audience members for being here today. A video of this webinar will post to present Danger China.org Within a day of the conclusion of our program, please share this and our other programs with your elected representatives, colleagues and other networks. Join us again next week for our next installment of the Committee on the Present Danger China Webinar series on the CCP's Unrestricted Warfare Against America and the Free World and how the USA is Betrayed by CCP Captured Elites. Thanks for joining us today and goodbye.

Who is Robert Malone is a reader-supported publication. To receive new posts and support my work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber.

Thank you for reading Who is Robert Malone.
This post is public so feel free to share it.

85 Comments



Top First 

- 

Gloria Hensley Mar 11

Trump had removed us from the W.H.O. either his 3rd or 4th year in office. He said the U.S. had been paying all the money - just like we always did. And he removed us from the W.H.O. but of course, Biden, who is owned by the CCP immediately put us back into the W.H.O. as well as the U.N. and Nato!! He is the king of DEATH - who wants to make abortion a Federal Right. So, he is saying death is now the rule!!

 LIKE (36)  REPLY (1) ...
- 

Boris Petrov Mar 12

Dede Laugesen represents US gone mad.

 LIKE (1)  REPLY ...
- 

Fred Jewett Mar 11

Heres my take on WHO taking over world health care.

They will call more pandemics and force people to get vaccinated with Killer vaccines.

This will weaken Western Countries so China can take the opportunity to knife in and take over Taiwan.

The people in Western Countries will be so dibilitated that they will submit to WEF oversight and then outright submission to the WEF one world government. What a coup! Fight back now by keeping WHO out of our health care.

♡ LIKE (29) 💬 REPLY ⋮

 **DTT** Mar 11 ❤️ Liked by Robert W Malone MD, MS
Excellent article! I hope your readers spread it far and wide to open some eyes to the dangers being foisted upon us and the freedoms being stolen. 🇺🇸

♡ LIKE (19) 💬 REPLY ⋮

 **RV MAGAMD** Mar 11
Time to permanently exit the parasitic WHO and the UN. No reason for us to drag these two derelict anachronistic organizations around. Membership not in our best interest.

♡ LIKE (17) 💬 REPLY (1) ⋮

 **Mac Hayes** Mar 11
With the USA being currently leaderless, it is the WHO and UN that are dragging the USA around.

♡ LIKE (7) 💬 REPLY ⋮

 **Faith** Writes ASnhat 40Kft Mar 11 ❤️ Liked by Robert W Malone MD, MS
Isn't that a typo that says China was paying \$39 Billion a year to the WHO? It must have been \$39 million.

♡ LIKE (11) 💬 REPLY ⋮

 **Mario A Leblanc** Mar 11
Trump Funded Gates' GAVI While Bragging About Cutting WHO Funds
"Trump gave 3 times as much TAXPAYER money to Bill Gates global vaccine initiative (GAVI) that he bragged about cutting from WHO. But that's just the beginning. He gave 10 times as much TAXPAYER money to BigPharma and 15 times as much to do testing & tracking of YOU..."

<https://grandtheftworld.com/2021/01/13/trump-funded-gates-gavi-while-bragging-about-cutting-who-funds/>

Vaccine Bait & Switch: As Millions Pulled From WHO, Trump Gives Billions To Gates-Founded GAVI <https://www.thelastamericanvagabond.com/vaccine-bait-switch-millions-pulled-from-who-trump-gives-billions-gates-founded-gavi/>

♡ LIKE (8) 💬 REPLY (4) ⋮



DTT Mar 11

Trump was under the impression that DC and people like Gates were pro-American. And honest. So he ended up trusting people to be patriotic and altruistic.

He has learned his lessons, I believe.

Make no mistake: Trump is the only outsider who made it into the holy of holies, and he could have accomplished much more if not for America-last traitors like Fauci, Paul Ryan, Mitch McConnell and the entire drive-by media.

He has never mandated the clot shots, and he never would.

Be more honest in your criticisms.

♡ LIKE (18) 💬 REPLY (2) ...



Diamond Mar 11

About six months before the Fauci flu hit, Trump signed an executive order, creating the national flu vaccine task force for five years to "educate" the public into believing that every man, woman and child should be taking the flu vaccine every year. it was a bad omen.

♡ LIKE (8) 💬 REPLY ...



Mario A Leblanc Mar 11

He has learned his lessons? Really? Lastest Trump bioweapon promotion was January 2023 in a phone interview. Around mark 16 min.

<https://rumble.com/v25vtpi-water-cooler-exclusive-interview-with-president-trump.html>

♡ LIKE (5) 💬 REPLY ...



Mario A Leblanc Mar 11

Same here. Trump, really? He is still now pushing people to get their shots!

Face the truth. Operation Warp Speed - Trump.

Vaccines will be ready November first, 2020... just before the election - Trump.

Get your booster shot. I just got mine. It's good - Trump.

Who gave few billions \$ to the BigPharma to develop this killer shots - Trump.

He even bragged that if there are 200 millions vaccinated americans, it is because of

nim.

Trump Tramemark - motto is "You're fired! Why didn't he fire

Not only that but Trump is still pushing to take the poisonous shot :

Trump Booed For Telling Alabama Crowd To Get Covid Vaccine

<https://youtu.be/2OUwj93fU2Q>

Trump Dismisses COVID-19 Vax Safety Claims, Says He Saved 100 Million Lives

"Former President Donald Trump has dismissed claims that COVID-19 vaccines are broadly unsafe while asserting that his own role in the development of the vaccines may have saved 100 million lives." <https://www.msn.com/en-us/health/other/trump-dismisses-covid-19-vax-safety-claims-says-he-saved-100-million-lives/ar-AA16q3mX>

Trump still trying to claim credit for deadly covid vaccines that are killing Americans

<https://www.naturalnews.com/2021-10-21-trump-still-claiming-credit-deadly-covid-vaccines-killing-americans.html#>

Dr. Mengel - Fauci? He knows that vaccines are bad. Kids are not horses remember? Robert F. Kennedy Jr met him at the beginning of his mandate to discuss about he 70 vaccines given to kids but he let him down.

One head - two parties. Good cop bad cop! He is part of he cabal.

Trump has a big mouth. He looks tough but is he really? He plays on both side and for his ego.

 LIKE (10)  REPLY (2) ...



Mario A Leblanc Mar 11

Lastest bioweapon promotion was January 2023 in a phone interview. Around mark 16 min <https://rumble.com/v25vtpi-water-cooler-exclusive-interview-with-president-trump.html>

 LIKE (2)  REPLY (1) ...



James Goodrich Writes J Goodrich News Letter Mar 11

Didn't see where Trump mandated my wife to take 3 shots or lose her job. Biden mandated the shots that poisoned my wife. Now he's illegally going to sign our sovereignty over to the Chinese run WHO. Did I mention Biden got 2 billion from communist China. What a joke!!

 LIKE (9)  REPLY (1) ...



Mario A Leblanc Mar 11

I am not promoting Biden. I am telling you the truth about Trump.

♡ LIKE (6) 💬 REPLY (1) ...



James Goodrich Writes J Goodrich News Letter Mar 11

Agreed that Trump pushed the vaccine to be produced. His biggest mistakes were allowing Judases and traitors like Fauci to make policy. He picked the wrong people to many times. People that only wanted to stab him in the back. Well they certainly did. He never would have forced the vaccine on America and he never would allow the WHO to make mandates on American citizens. We wouldn't even be in the WHO...

♡ LIKE (9) 💬 REPLY (2) ...



Mac Hayes Mar 11

I don't recall Trump ever calling for vaccine mandates. The idea of vaccines is good, but the testing of them before requiring them is crucial, per the still-untested mRNA shots for SARS. I like the vaccines that work, but not the anti-virus kind, like flu, which only gave me the flu while NEVER preventing me getting it.

♡ LIKE (5) 💬 REPLY (1) ...



Fred Jewett Mar 11

Trump was involved before the problems with the vaccines became known. Trump is not a scientist. He believed the NIH, CDC, Pfizer, etc. when they said they had produced a viable vaccine. 2 months after the introduction he was out of office and Biden was in power when the the news of vaccine failures started surfacing and Biden did nothing to stop them.

♡ LIKE (3) 💬 REPLY ...



James Goodrich Writes J Goodrich News Letter Mar 11

I honestly don't think this country will survive him signing our sovereignty over as he will.

 LIKE (1)  REPLY (2) ...



Mac Hayes Mar 11

Trump did not sign over our sovereignty, Biden is the one doing that!

 LIKE (5)  REPLY ...



SusanMc Mar 11

Biden?

 LIKE  REPLY ...



Sybil Mar 12

It's the Hegelian dialectic thing.

 LIKE (1)  REPLY ...



Cheryl Mason Mar 12

Don't forget, President Trump was all in for ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine, any viable treatment therapy. He touted they were inexpensive and showing good data. The evil media, bureaucrats, and big Pharma methodically shut that down.

 LIKE (6)  REPLY (1) ...



Mario A Leblanc Mar 12

Yes it is true but he was President. Why didn't he fight more? " The president directs the executive branch of the federal government and is the commander-in-chief of the United States Armed Forces." So he could first kick out Dr Mengele Fauci and replace him, do the rest that I don't have to explain and not to bend down.

We are at war now. The war is against us. The cabal, few old billionaires wants us all dead or trans human disconnected from our soul and connected to machine that can be contol via a computer. My point is this is not over. They are about to launch other bioweapons and all kind of satanic stuffs.

We need as President somebody ready to fight... (I don't have time now to explain more. I will try to come back later.)

 LIKE (1)  REPLY (1) ...



Cheryl Mason Mar 12

Yes it's true but..... he couldn't eliminate the entire entrenched bureaucrats at that critical time anyway like swinging a light saber around the Oval Office as you would have it. Read In Trump Time by Dr. Peter Navarro.

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY (1) ...



Mario A Leblanc Mar 12

He is still pushing the vac19. Get your booster shot. I just got mine. It's good - Trump.

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ...



Cheryl Mason Mar 13

He has never been a politician. He's listening to those close around him. He took the booster in 2021. Here's a January 2022 article from the mainstream before he officially announced he's running. They think his base is splitting. Since then his poll numbers have only increased. We're not going to agree with President Trump about everything. That would be cultish, besides. But he is 100% America First and has proven it time and time again. That is what counts. Much more than any politician in history, he has kept his promises.

"Trump's effort to change the dialogue around vaccines may be part of a general election strategy to take on President Joe Biden in 2024, according to Trump advisers, former aides and administration officials. These allies don't think there's any downside to his promoting the shots and his administration's efforts to accelerate their development in a GOP primary — which Trump would enter as the prohibitive favorite should he decide to run — even with surveys showing 30 percent to 40 percent of the Republican base declining so far to be vaccinated or saying they never will.

"He has a right to believe in them, and he's taking them, and he thinks that other people should," said conservative talk show host John Fredericks, the chair of Trump's campaigns in Virginia in 2016 and 2020. "Also, he's not for mandates. He's not for making people put something in their body or take something they don't want." He

[Expand full comment](#)

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY ...



Sybil Mar 12

Either he was highly deceived or it's the classic Hegelian dialectic thing.

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY (1) ...



DTT Mar 12

Seriously? He was definitely deceived. Those who deceived him are proudly trumpeting their deceit in the books they are publishing.

And this may not have been your comment, but on Trump ignoring RFK Jr, THAT was the work of evil Bill Gates who later bragged on video about luring Trump to the clique of "knowledgeable" business leaders and away from (and I'm not making this up) a man Gates called "some guy named Kennedy."

Trump trusted the wrong people. He is not evil, no matter how much you disdain and despise him.

♡ LIKE (7) 💬 REPLY (1) ...



Bob Schubring Mar 12

Great point. Mr Trump spent a career building hotels, golf courses, and casinos, and crafting celebrity status for himself, which he leveraged to promote these public accommodations. His education on technology is quite limited. He was not in a position to figure out the oddball games that go on in politics and media.

Perhaps the best way to understand Dr Fauci is to read a Shakespeare play, "Coriolanus". The play depicts a Roman army commander who felt disrespected by Rome, so he became a traitor and led an enemy army against Rome. Like a number of Shakespeare's plays, it has a tragic ending.

Whatever insult to his intellect he perceived, in the Obama Administration barring gain-of-function research, Dr Fauci's decision to send the work to the Chinese Army Bioweapons Lab was hugely destructive.

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY ...



Mike Writes Very Official News Mar 11

Hi Dr. Malone,

The following is the letter I'm sending to my congressmen, as well as forwarding to someone in Kevin McCarthy's district to send to him. I owe my understanding of the situation to James Roguski's substack (<https://jamesroguski.substack.com>), which has been meticulously tracking this nightmare since the ordeal last year. If you have the time, you may want to contact him at james.roguski@gmail.com or by phone at 310-619-3055

Dear Congressman McCarthy,

I have never voted for the World Health Organization. I don't know any American citizen who has. And yet, for some reason, the Organization's Director-General, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, and a slew of unaccountable bureaucrats have seen fit to call for it to be equipped with unprecedented binding powers over member nations.

(For one of the briefest examples, consider this two-minute address by Mr. Ghebreyesus posted by the Organization last year:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AYRQ9BD4OZM>)

Already, meetings have been occurring in Geneva to decide our fate, with further deliberation at the World Health Assembly in May. The American people have not been consulted. The wanton disregard for the consideration of public in this process alone is enough to prove that this abomination is contrary to the principles on which this nation was founded.

The current deliberations involve a two-pronged approach.

The better-publicized subject is the "WHO convention, agreement, or other international

[Expand full comment](#)

 LIKE (7)  REPLY (1) ...



Mike Writes Very Official News Mar 11

Needless to say, this is unacceptable. More unacceptable still is that these Amendments can be adopted without signatures by any Presidents or Prime Ministers nor approval by the Senate, Congress or any Parliament.

In fact, this happened at the Assembly just last year. After the original Amendments quietly submitted by HHS 18, January, 2022 (https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA75/A75_18-en.pdf#page=4), intolerable in their own right, were shot down (largely due to resistance from the African countries, which seem to have possibly been appeased this time around with assurances of infrastructural support), a smaller set of Amendments

(https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA75/A75_ACONF7Rev1-en.pdf) contributed by a handful of member states was submitted on May 24, 2022. They were officially adopted three days later, on May 27, 2022, in a procedure in which the assembly of unelected, unaccountable and largely unknown delegates were queried by Dr Hiroki Nakatani, and in lieu of objection, just like that, the world had new international law.

Video available here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M393lvq1650&t=466s>

It's of note that while the WHO appears nominally willing to ask for objections in its typically glad-handling assembly rooms, given that the IHR amendments seek once again to throttle open discourse in member nations under the mantra of countering whatever its bureaucrats deem "misinformation and disinformation", the public's right to object appears increasingly endangered. Tellingly, there has been no public comment session for the Amendments to the International Health Regulations at all.

The full breadth of the overreach encompassed in these Amendments is too extensive to

[Expand full comment](#)

 LIKE (5)  REPLY ...



Jerry Newfield Mar 11

You kind of lost me on this one with the "Chinese virus" nonsense in the introduction. A certain country funds bioweapons labs all over the globe. Yes, China is bad news, no doubt about that.

 LIKE (6)  REPLY (1) ...



Faith Writes ASnhat 40Kft Mar 11 · *edited Mar 11*

COVID was released in China. That makes it "The China Virus". This is a convention of naming things, such as the Middle East Respiratory Virus, or MERS because it originated in, (you guessed it!) the Middle East!

 LIKE (3)  REPLY (2) ...



Jerry Newfield Mar 11

And, in fact, we don't really know where the virus originated as there is evidence it had been around much longer than the official narrative allows, just as the "vaccine" makers were working on a "vaccine" for longer than they have admitted. Tom Jefferson of Oxford University's Center for Evidence-Based Medicine (CEBM) mentioned years ago that sewage samples in Spain and elsewhere showed traces of

this virus in 2019.

 LIKE (3)  REPLY (2) ...



SusanMc Mar 11

Bill Rice, Jr. (Substack writer) has written about the earlier than officially recognized arrival of this coronavirus. He's still compiling research. What he has so far convinces me. Plus I know people who had something "like the flu" but wasn't (me included) in January & early February.

One I know who died in December that is suspected of having it.

 LIKE (2)  REPLY ...



Shelley Mar 12

I was sick for two and a half weeks the middle of November 2019 before I finally went to my nurse practitioner. By then I had a lingering soar throat, swollen glands and much nasal and lung mucous and phlegm. She looked at my throat and said you do not have strep throat. I asked what could it have been then. Answer, well it wasn't strep. Gee thanks NP.

 LIKE (1)  REPLY (1) ...



Jerry Newfield Mar 12

I've talked some other people who also had it then.

 LIKE  REPLY (1) ...



SusanMc Mar 12

Shelley & Jerry, please contact Bill Rice, Jr.

He'd truly appreciate hearing from you both. I think he's on the something, one day the world will know! So many pieces to this covid puzzle. He's trying to begin at the beginning. Just search him in the search bar here on Substack.

If I can find my way back to this spot I'll post a link.

 LIKE (1)  REPLY (1) ...



Jerry Newfield Mar 12

I will check it out.

 LIKE  REPLY ...



Jerry Newfield Mar 11

I am sorry you missed the point. I wasn't talking about "naming things."

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY ...



Melanie Reynolds Mar 11

I like the quote "When Malone speaks people listen" such a true statement .

♡ LIKE (5) 💬 REPLY ...



Basina Mar 11

This is the "RESET." Sly little dickheads. I've been following this since their first try a year ago. What I find so cunning that the biden/obama cabal of lawyers is doing, if I got this right, is using some legal hocus to make it an accord & NOT a treaty which our Senate would then be involved. And, after signing away our sovereignty to the WHO, it would be the biden cartel who would implement the strictures & sanctions upon us at the behest of the WHO/CCP. We cannot let this evil happen. Pray & Fight!

♡ LIKE (5) 💬 REPLY ...



Janice Mar 11

Dr. Malone thankyou so much for the crucial viewing and timing of this video. It needs to be shared far and wide so more people can understand and become aware of the urgency of what is happening at the moment. The speakers helped to clarify a few things for me. We all need each other and God's help at this time to STOP this murderous agenda from moving forward.

♡ LIKE (4) 💬 REPLY ...



randall stoehr Writes randall's Substack Mar 11

This is so unimaginably heart pounding and mind bending to fathom. In one fell swoop, one person, beyond dysfunctional lacking of IQ, allow his signature to establish his dark winter, dark days ahead agenda. But if this is what is to be hammered into the analogs of history. Place your personal oath as in competition to such madness. Is this really taking shape? The answer is absolutely affirmative. This is neither a drill nor a dream. It's an out right, hands where I can see em, victimizing attack of unseen proportions. Is this this nut jobs legacy? The selling out of Americans?

♡ LIKE (4) 💬 REPLY ...



robert mysse Mar 11

I think you are making a big mistake if you think the GOP is going to protect the USA from the Chinese communists, both these political parties are filled with a bunch of fools. Remember trump and warp speed, and trump taking credit for the vaccines. Trump did nothing to get ivermectin and HDXC approved for early use against covid, and then there is that Jan 6th insurrection trump fomented, the one the GOP calls a capitol tour. Trump nearly overthrew the 2020 election without a shred of evidence of voter fraud, according to the judges who saw his 60+ lawsuit complaints and many of them were trump appointed judges. Neither of these parties cares one twit about the constitution. We need to be very careful about both parties if we are to save our democracy. I hope the GOP goes after Fauci and puts him in jail, but I hope we don't see the current cast of characters from either party in the highest office of the land. We need major changes in both parties.

♡ LIKE (4) 💬 REPLY (1) ...



randall stoehr Writes randall's Substack Mar 11

Absolutely agree there must be ACTION on all accountability. Chit chat hopium will not suffice.

If you are in the business of Meaning Business! Then get moving. Talk is cheap and often a mute point in a discussion. Plus we're not as dumb as Brandon looks! We are under pressure.

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY ...



DougBTaylor Writes DougBTaylor's Substack Mar 11

WHO isn't: "We Hide Outbreaks"; it should be "We Herald Outbreaks. It justifies their existence. A 'pandemic' that kills people is just the sort of thing needed in this world still lacking a 'single world leader.'

These corrupt weasels are in their prime, and they have their hands on the slot machines, eager to pull again.

♡ LIKE (4) 💬 REPLY ...



Thomas A Braun RPh Writes N2E+ for Life Mar 12

Globalists and Big Pharma see the move to take WHO from advisory to a dictatorship a step closer to a one world government. The discussion did not raise the Codex alimentarius aspect of WHO and their working committee to harmonize nutritional values and equalities between nations. Not to improve nutrient resources, but to control them and reduce their availability

and accessibility. Driving force is the German drug companies and is reflected in their policies in Germany. The recent push to make NAC in the US a prescription drug is one current example. Reducing nutrient access increases the need to depend on Big Pharma and their allopathic medicine model for fixing health issues. The continued denial of the true value of vitamin D and it's role in controlling over 3000 gene expressions is a good example of maintaining control over the health of humans for profit and not good health. Cue Health in the US has a Vitamin D blood testing device for physicians office's, but instead of promoting the need to test for D deficiencies has teamed up with Pfizer to push their Paxlovid. \$\$\$ over good health.

Our Clown college in DC just goes along with this act against US sovereignty! SAVE OUR CHILDREN! Stop the injections NOW! We need to dismantle and rebuild our NIH/CDC/FDA into agencies protecting the health of our citizens.

 LIKE (3)  REPLY (1) ...



Shelley Mar 12

You are on a roll Thomas and astutely so I might add!

 LIKE (2)  REPLY ...



Jean Mar 11

For starters, I feel I need to give RFK,Jr attention on Epoch TV at 7:30.

One - who struck John - will be relevant for the 2024 Election. The WHO initiatives are NOW. Their outcome could change our governance forever. 2024 could become meaningless.

Two IMO our focus now needs to be registering our complete opposition to giving in to this atrocity! Letting our legislators know we know that without committed opposition there will be no tomorrow! (Particularly for them)

THREE - The surveillance is all ready with Gates "Germ operation. He offered a video about it a while back.

Much appreciation Docs! Back later

 LIKE (3)  REPLY ...



Boris Petrov Mar 12 · *edited Mar 13*

Thank you. Elon Musk is a miracle that happens once in a century.

He single-handedly unmasked the murderous and deeply corrupt US War party – with Twitter Files (J6 choreographed hoax, Russia-gate immense 5-year hoax, has broken total media

ensorship of terrorist US attack on NATO member Germany and of Covid conspiracy with Pfizer crime organization, of massive crimes by Nazi-dominated Ukraine government which is a mere puppet of US bipartisan War party, etc.).

Elon Musk immense courage and humanity is fully displayed by his one single three-word tweet:

Free Jacob Chansley <https://t.co/8BbeXF2Fye>

Intelligent, always completely peaceful, childlike US veteran, Jacob Chansley (with documented 15-year innocent mental issues) has been transformed by bloodthirsty and amoral US War party criminals, primarily Democrat leadership and FBI executives, into a symbol of (unarmed) J6 "insurrection" by grotesque lies and evidence hiding.

Peaceful and playful Jacob was tortured by years-long solitary isolation (Tocqueville defined prolonged solitary confinement as instrument of torture) and sentenced to long prison term – despite being now provably innocent of all charges.

<https://substack.com/inbox/post/107896276> -- OUTSTANDING

Bloodshed & Bullshit, Part One -- This has to come to an end. Mar. 11, 2023

♡ LIKE (2) 💬 REPLY ...



Mario A Leblanc Mar 12

You all have to read this : Clif High's Predictive Analysis Tools are measuring a Massive Shift in Human Consciousness going on Right Now

“We, the People, know that :

USA is under attack.

USA is at War.

It is 5th generation unrestricted war.

The attackers are a global secret cabal known, by We, the People, as the [Khazarian Mafia]...”

Read More <https://sarahwestall.com/clif-highs-predictive-analysis-tools-are-measuring-a-massive-shift-in-human-consciousness-going-on-right-now/>

♡ LIKE (2) 💬 REPLY ...



Hubris Mar 11

Right! China is running it, but somehow Kill Gates seems to pull all the strings..

You know what. Dr. Malone? Whv don't we start a war with China? Oh - wait - this is EXACTLY

what our deep state wants!

 LIKE (2)  REPLY (1) ...



Hubris Mar 12 · *edited Mar 12*

Whenever you find your views aligning with the deep state, pause and rethink!

... unless you actually work for the deep state, so this is 100% normal!

 LIKE (1)  REPLY ...



randall stoehr Writes randall's Substack Mar 11

From the beginning of the current Admin's exec orders and the turning our basic Freedoms as now = Hypnotic fears in a continuum of woke insanity. This blueprinted business model has consisted of stealth deceptions/vast overreaches/the total assumptions of correctness to allow EUA tactics via presidential exec mandates advocated by CDC/WHO. Thus has allowed a monstrous progression for a .GOV and Big pHARMA Monopolized marriage the size of which has been poorly underestimated by way to many individuals whose pay grade and employ was to make darn certain things like exactly like this do not ever happen in any way /shape / form. Every law was discarded.

 LIKE (2)  REPLY ...



LDT Mar 11 · *edited Mar 12*

I read this instead of listening to the whole thing. I have questions concerning Frank Gaffney. He seems nervous in this presentation. Why? Also, his connections to the Project for the New American Century, whose founders were Robert Kagan (wife is Victoria Nuland) and Bill Kristol? I thought Gaffney believed that Islam was the biggest threat to the US. Does he still believe this? Does he think China has coupled with the powerful Muslim Brotherhood to achieve its goals? There are two things the news has stopped reporting on: Israel and Islam. I wonder why that is?

 LIKE (2)  REPLY (3) ...



Shelley Mar 12

I think Frank has modified his outlook, as have others. Late in Trump's presidency he started to get heated about China (I get his alert emails). PNAC even captured Bill Bennett, probably because it used Reagan's name to sell itself. Kristol's Weekly Standard fell on hard times and vanished after Trump (the isolationist) was elected! The PNAC was housed in the same building as AEI, the libertarian no-can-think-straight tank. I too just

read the piece (hard of hearing) and thought Frank repeated a lot, which is not his usual style as moderator. Other than that, I detected nothing else.

 LIKE  REPLY (1) ...



LDT Mar 12 · *edited Mar 12*

Do you happen to know who financially backed PNAC? There are a few reasons people repeat themselves or fumble repeatedly... nervousness, memory/cognitive issues and distraction.

 LIKE  REPLY (1) ...



Shelley Mar 12

From 2000 to 2005, PNAC received \$241,735 in grants from several conservative foundations, including the Earhart, Olin, and William H. Donner foundations. From 1994 to 2005, the New Citizenship Project, which sponsored PNAC and whose chairman was Kristol, received \$3.5 million in grants, mostly from the largest right-wing foundations: Bradley, Olin, and Scaife. The Bradley Foundation was PNAC's largest source of foundation support, granting PNAC \$800,000 from 1997 to 2005. In its first year of operations, PNAC received grants from Bradley, Sarah Scaife, and Olin foundations.

https://militarist-monitor.org/profile/project_for_the_new_american_century/

Frank's field is foreign affairs and maybe like most he gravitates to those that are in the headlines. That's how they all make a living.

 LIKE  REPLY (1) ...



LDT Mar 12

Thanks for the data and the link! The Neo-Con world lost its shine for me several years ago. They told us it was to bring 'democracy'... it did no such thing, and I don't believe that was ever the real reason behind any of it. It brought death and suffering. It expanded 'empire'. It kept the petrol-dollar in place. It gave us geo-political control. It gave Israel a large buffer zone. Now ALL of that is falling apart. China is smiling and Israel is courting them...

 LIKE  REPLY (1) ...



Shelley Mar 12

It's sad but until Bush 43's Desert Storm all of our wars and

the sea, but when Bush took office during an era of war and skirmishes were started by Dems. His son was bullied into finishing the job that his dad left undone. 911 was the result of over 200 years of U.S. businesses running around the world (but not to France or England outpost) looking for resources and our military ran after to defend them. Central and South America, Cuba and further back Somalia and surrounding area. We use to pay one fourth of our treasury to the pirates to stay away from American ships. Finally could not afford it anymore and Jefferson unleashed the marines. From the halls of Montezuma to the shores of Tripoli.

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY ...



Jean Mar 11

Aren't we in the position now of appreciating the steps forward being offered? On the other hand watching to see whether we can verify to decide on whether to trust? Every/anyone going against our evils has some risk. We know that. Some are able to take it in stride better than others.

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (2) ...



randall stoehr Writes randall's Substack Mar 12

Pop song refrain....They smile in your face,
all the time they wanna take your place them back stabbers back stabbers.....
Something tells me after 3 yrs of exposed data and looking over shoulders,
the WHO is the king of backstabbers and under table favors and mega kickbacks.

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY ...



LDT Mar 12 · edited Mar 12

I am not sure I am tracking with your reply exactly. Would you mind unpacking it a bit? I do not trust Kagan, his wife Nuland or Bill Kristol as far as I could throw them. So... Gaffney being so closely associated with them in the past gives me pause.

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ...



Jean Mar 12

Mr Gaffney and the group he introduces appear to be responsibly analyzing and reporting the relevant aspects of the WHO threat. They are offering their analyses for responding thus far. Many of our most vital resources have evolved

analyses for responding thus far. Many of our most vital resources have evolved in their takes on the present realities. Folks who donated to Obama and Biden and voted for them, are coming up with different views on their performances. Many folks are seeing China in a different light. I'm for assuming we are all on the same page and trying to move toward solutions to the same problems (until I note otherwise). Its always a good idea to follow what's happening. If something seems to be going astray pay attention and if needed encourage attention.

 LIKE  REPLY (1) ...



LDT Mar 12

True. People in this game long enough, in this close a proximity to upper tiers, are rarely completely ignorant of the actual facts on the ground...they may however be ignorant of the role of each player and who is funding them. People can work for the same administration have very different goals, different seats of power they are aligned with or make may common cause with otherwise opposite ends.

 LIKE  REPLY ...



randall stoehr Writes randall's Substack Mar 11

He can feel the target growing larger on his back.

Most certainly.....You and I would have the same basic instincts. Fear of reprisals.

 LIKE  REPLY ...



Boris Petrov 18 hr ago

Thank you !! Prof. Jeffrey Sachs states that he is "pretty convinced [COVID-19] came out of US biotechnology lab" and warns that there is dangerous virus research taking place without public oversight.

"Why the Chair of the Lancet's COVID-19 Commission Thinks The US Government Is Preventing a Real Investigation Into the Pandemic"

<https://www.jeffsachs.org/interviewsandmedia/64rtmykxdl56ehbjwy37m5hfahwnm5>

Prof. Jeffrey Sachs is the Director of the Center for Sustainable Development at Columbia University and the President of the UN Sustainable Development Solutions Network. He has also served as the chair of the COVID-19 commission for leading medical journal the Lancet.

Through his investigations as the head of the COVID-19 commission, Prof. Sachs has come to

through his investigations as the head of the COVID-19 Commission, Prof. Sachs has come to the conclusion that there is extremely dangerous biotechnology research being kept from public view, that the United States was supporting much of this research, and that it is very possible that SARS-CoV-2, the virus responsible for COVID-19, originated through dangerous virus research gone awry.

WHY is this NEVER mentioned in all manufactured hysteria about China ??

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY ...



Boris Petrov Mar 12

Dede Laughessen represent US gone mad.

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY ...



Birdingmom Mar 11

More people should be familiar with The Center for Security Policy and the work they do.

Unfortunately, at least in the case of one of our Republican supposedly conservative senators, my contact for him told me CPS wasn't "a legit site" because she had "googled it" and quoted the description of them by the Southern Poverty Law Center!!! Yes, we are in BIG trouble. I replied with incredulity about her ignorance and suggested she use a different search engine.

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY (2) ...



KRNapa Mar 12

Thank you, Dr. Malone, for sounding this alarm. I'm going to avoid the use of many more words that have already been said and just reduce them all to this:

[We. are. in. big. trouble.](https://www.who.int/news-room/feature-stories/we-are-in-big-trouble)

♡ LIKE (2) 💬 REPLY ...



Shelley Mar 12

I have been getting their email alerts for years. Trust is not my focus; it's information to me, which usually is eventually corroborated at some point.

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY ...



Ana González Mar 11

Doctor Malone, thank you for sandwiching this article between the Friday Funnies and the Sunday comics.

This is very troubling and I think that these people have gone rogue! We simply can't trust them to stick to their announced time-line!

IMHO, all of our current problems seem to have been exacerbated after our stolen 2020 elections.

I'm not saying that I can solve many problems. Heaven knows that we have so many problems on so many levels!

However, it seems that if we know the cause, we can simply correct the problems by tackling the cause!

Sometimes we make things more complicated than they are!!!

Just saying 🙄🙄

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY ...



John Reed Writes John's Newsletter Mar 11

Most people nowadays, when they think of international politics -- especially in the context of war or world wars -- only take into consideration nation states, such as France vs. Germany (back in WWI). Now we have the emergence of China; a convenient scapegoat. But there are some who try to look up toward the tip of the power pyramid and consequently are forced to consider transnational organizations, such as who, or pharma and the like. Big capitalism, state capitalism, multinational corporations. But there is likely another level above that (difficult for ordinary people such as ourselves to fathom) which might be termed the ultra-capitalists, and that means the big banks: not ordinary banks, that deal with the public or with businesses, but "banks" that deal in money creation for use by nation states. There are various branches but really there is only one bank, the one at the top of the pyramid. The Bank of England. It's been there a long time. At that is run by whoever owns the Class A stock. The most likely inference is that it is run by the red badgers (meaning no offense to the Taxideans). So it doesn't do much good to consider China or any other subsidiary if we want to understand what is happening and where we are going. A more useful place to start is to consider what the red badgers think of Peak Oil, and consequently what they might be tempted to do about it.

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY ...



Heather Gulliver 4 hr ago

Excellent presentation, many thanks all involved. It is quite insane that the psychobillionaires are trying to take our sophistication back many centuries .

 LIKE  REPLY ...



John Q Liberty 21 hr ago

WHO's on first? <https://youtu.be/2ve20PVNZ18>

 LIKE  REPLY ...



Shelley Mar 12

The second Dr. Malone substack that went to my Spam folder. Someone is trying to discourage me! But I found it in just one day, although I am not a fan of hide-and-go-seek.

 LIKE  REPLY ...



Boris Petrov Mar 12

Caitlin on China

• https://open.substack.com/pub/caitlinjohnstone/p/imperial-narrative-managers-always?r=byea&utm_medium=ios&utm_campaign=post

 LIKE  REPLY ...



Cindy Mar 12

We need to start thinking about passive resistance. That's what those of us who refused to get the vaccine did. Passive resistance is what Gandhi used to free the Indian territory (now India and the two Pakistans) from the British. And passive resistance is what Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. and the Civil Rights Movement members used to get free from Jim Crow in the South.

A warrior mentality brings about more war. Yes, there are time when we do have to fight, but I think if enough of use refuse to participate in vaccine passports and the other ways of tracking us, the fascists won't be able to win.

And we are talking about fascists, both here in the U.S. and internationally.

 LIKE  REPLY ...



Cheryl Mason Mar 12

WE OWE YOU A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF GRATITUDE. I know it's a platitude but no truer words ever expressed!

 LIKE  REPLY ...



John Davies Writes John's Substack Mar 12

Leaving aside the Trump issue, which seems to be a contentious subject in the US

Leaving aside the Trump issue, which seems to be a contentious subject in the US.

The WHO is clearly run by globalists WEF (the connection between China and the WEF is unclear to me.

The role/performance of the WHO was central in formulating and attempting to enforce what we now know were toxic and damaging lockdowns and related measures.

I wouldn't trust them to advise never mind be the sole arbiters of global pandemic response.

Speaking from the UK, people now are only just waking up to what a sham the COVID measures were.

Some, especially medical people are talking openly about their embarrassment in being pawns in the pandemic response.

One such person and friend told me that they would never indulge in lockdowns and all that comes with it again. That's a step forward as the conversation in the past was not so easy as I was a conspiracist in their eyes.

In the UK a new law is at the discussion stage, that will allow digital IDs. There are parts of this that would pave the way for vax passports and other Orwellian measures.

This is being resisted, so it remains to be seen whether it passes into Law.

So for me handing over control of world health to the WHO is a crazy idea, if only for the reason that sovereign nations are best placed to craft their own response.

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY (1) ⋮



Boris Petrov Mar 12

Dede Laughesen represent US gone mad.

♡ LIKE (2) 💬 REPLY ⋮



MA Mar 12

100 % correct

♡ LIKE 💬 REPLY ⋮



Jean Mar 11

Thank you so ever much for all the exposures for today! Rather feel as if my brain needs stretching exercises.

Kennedy, Jr - Evidently a series that I will be following. Garnered some new perspectives. Was surprised he has emerged maybe only marginally afflicted from dealing with demons.

Very smart and informed fellow. Just wish he were speaking about our Constitutional Republic as opposed to Democracy (to me a D thing - The larger group having the right to rule and silence and crush the little guys).

ON Topic:

Am very impressed and encouraged by the folks represented in these presentations.

Withdraw U.S. from the WHO now!

Frank Gaffney

Reggie Littlejohn

Michael Yeadon, PhD

Francis Boyle, PhD

Who's on First at the WHO

Frank Gaffney

Dr David Bell

Reggie Littlejohn

Jonathan Emord Esq

[Expand full comment](#)

 LIKE  REPLY ...